# Lake Champlain Water Quality Initiative Combined Survey Results Survey Two

### 1a. Would you support enhanced cropland management based on suite of options

	<b>MFO</b>	<u>LFO</u>	<b>Small Farm</b>	Small-non cow	Veggie/Maple	Non-Farmer	<u>Unkwn</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Floodplain								
Yes	7	5	6	6	3	10	16	53
No	1	1	3					5
Maybe	7	3	2	1			3	16
	•						•	
Non-FP								
Yes	4	6	5	3	2	6	11	37
No	5	2	5		1	2	2	17
Maybe	7	1	1	3		2	5	19
			_				_	
1b. Would you support buffering ditches with 10 feet of	perenr	nial v	egetation?					
	<u>MFO</u>	<u>LFO</u>	Small Farm	Small-non cow	Veggie/Maple	Non-Farmer	<u>Unkwn</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Floodplain								
Yes	5	3	4	6	1	9	16	44
	_	_				_		
No	4	3	3		2	1	3	
			3	1	2	1		
No	4	3		1	2	1	3	17
No	4	3		1	2	1	3	17
No Maybe	4	3		1	2	5	3	17
No Maybe Non-FP	5	3	3	1	2	_	3	17 12
No Maybe  Non-FP Yes	5	3 3	3	2 2		5	3 1 12	17 12 27 27
No Maybe  Non-FP Yes No	5 5	3 3 2 5	1 6	2 2	2	5	3 1 12 4	17 12 27 27
No Maybe  Non-FP Yes No	5 5 4	3 3 2 5 2	1 6 3	2 2 2	2	5	3 1 12 4	17 12 27 27
No Maybe  Non-FP Yes No Maybe	5 5 4	3 3 2 5 2 e appl	1 6 3	2 2 2	2	5 3 2	3 1 12 4 5	17 12 27 27 18
No Maybe  Non-FP Yes No Maybe	5 5 4	3 3 2 5 2 e appl	1 6 3	2 2 2 1 done?	2	5 3 2	3 1 12 4 5	17 12 27 27 18
No Maybe  Non-FP Yes No Maybe  1c Should any of these practices be required where fall response to the second of t	5 5 4	3 3 2 5 2 e appl	1 6 3	2 2 1 done? Small-non cow	2	5 3 2 Non-Farmer	3 1 12 4 5	17 12 27 27 18 Totals
No Maybe  Non-FP Yes No Maybe  1c Should any of these practices be required where fall r Floodplain	4 5 5 5 4 4 manure MFO	3 3 5 2 appl	1 6 3 ications are 6 Small Farm	2 2 1 done? Small-non cow	2 1 Veggie/Maple	5 3 2 Non-Farmer	12 4 5	17 12 27 27 18 Totals

## Lake Champlain Water Quality Initiative Combined Survey Results Survey Two

#### 1c Should any of these practices be required where fall manure applications are done?

	<u>MFO</u>	<u>LFO</u>	Small Farm	Small-non cow	Veggie/Maple	Non-Farmer	<u>Unkwn</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Non-FP								
MI	3	3	4	2		3	7	22
CC	6	3	4	5		3	11	32
WB	2	2	3	2		4	5	18

### 1d Are you interested in BMPs to address nutrient outflows from tile drainage?

	<u>MFO</u>	<u>LFO</u>	Small Farm	Small-non cow	Veggie/Maple	Non-Farmer	<u>Unkwn</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Yes	3	1	1	4	2	7	10	28
No	4	2	6	2			1	15
Maybe	8	5	3	1	1	2	3	23

#### 2a What methods of prioritization do you believe would be most effective for providing cost share?

	<u>MFO</u>	<u>LFO</u>	Small Farm	Small-non cow	Veggie/Maple	Non-Farmer	<u>Unkwn</u>	<u>Totals</u>
High P Index	3	4	3	2	2	6	9	29
High P soil test	4	3	3	3	1	3	7	24
CSA	7	2	2	2	2	7	5	27
AIW	5	3	5	4	1	8	7	33
WQMD	2	1	1	2	1	5	7	19
FP	5		1	1	1	6	6	20

### 2b. Would you support increased cost shares in the prioritized areas and lower cost shares on other areas?

	<b>MFO</b>	<u>LFO</u>	Small Farm	Small-non cow	Veggie/Maple	Non-Farmer	<u>Unkwn</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Yes	10	3	6	5	3	7	9	43
No	2		2	2			2	8
Maybe	5	5	1			2	4	17

# Lake Champlain Water Quality Initiative Combined Survey Results Survey Two

#### 3a. Would you be interested in any of the following exchanges that allowed more flexibility?

	<u>MFO</u>	<u>LFO</u>	<u>Small Farm</u>	Small-non cow	Veggie/Maple	Non-Farmer	<u>Unkwn</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Lower Erosion in ex. for Winter Spreading	3	3	2	3		3	7	21
No Wint. Spread in CSAs in ex. Ltd. In pre-app area	5	5	4	5	1	3	7	30
Sm. Buffer no run-off vs. larger buffer in run off area	7	2	5	4	1	4	6	29

### 4. What non traditional incentives would encourage you to implement additional practices above those already required?

	<u>MFO</u>	<u>LFO</u>	<b>Small Farm</b>	Small-non cow	Veggie/Maple	Non-Farmer	<u>Unkwn</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Elevated Cost Share Rates	13	4	3	4	3	4	3	34
Prioritization for funding in ranking above baseline	3	4	1	1	1	2	4	16
Public Recognition for achieving higher standards	5	4	3	3	1	4	7	27
Protection from state on future water quality efforts	4	5	2	1	1	3	4	20
Forgiveness from EPA Inspections	6	2	1	1		3	2	15
Ackn. that practice implem. by one gov't entity good for a	6	4	5	4	2	2	3	26
Credit for practices already implemented on your farm	6	4	2	3	2	1	6	24